State Board of Education Goals – Future-ready Students for the 21st Century

- Goal 1 North Carolina public schools will produce globally competitive students.
- **Goal 2 –** North Carolina public schools will be led by 21st Century professionals.
- Goal 3 North Carolina Public School students will be healthy and responsible.
- Goal 4 Leadership will guide innovation in North Carolina public schools.
- Goal 5 North Carolina public schools will be governed and supported by 21st Century systems.

District Goals for Education Services for the Deaf and Blind (DPI)

District Goal 1: Every student excels in rigorous and relevant core curriculum that reflects what student need to know

including mastery of languages, appreciation of the arts and competencies in the use of technology.

Supports SBE Goal: Goal 1 – North Carolina public schools will produce globally competitive students.

District Goal 2: Every education professional will have 21st Century preparation and access to ongoing high quality

professional development aligned with State Board of Education priorities.

Supports SBE Goal: Goal 2 – North Carolina public schools will be led by 21st Century professionals.

District Goal 3: Every learning environment will be involving, respectful, supportive, inclusive and flexible for student

success.

Supports SBE Goal: Goal 3 – North Carolina Public School students will be healthy and responsible.

District Goal 4: School leaders will create a culture that embraces change and promotes dynamic continuous

improvement.

Supports SBE Goal: Goal 4 – Leadership will guide innovation in North Carolina public schools.

District Goal 5: Processes are in place for financial planning and budgeting that focuses on resource

alignment and with priorities to maximize student achievement.

Supports SBE Goal: Goal 5 – North Carolina public schools will be governed and supported by 21st Century

systems.

Local Option Goals for The Governor Morehead School for the Blind

Local Options for 2011-12

Option 1: School Safety/Student Conduct.

GMS had seven (7) students that had discipline referrals of level three (3) or four (4) for the 2010-2011 academic year. The goal for the 2011-2012 academic year is to reduce student discipline referrals of level 3 and 4 by one (1) to six.

Option 2: Higher Expectations for Student Achievement.

At the end of the 2010-2011 academic year GMS had 88 percent of its students to be promoted to the next grade level. The goal for the 2011-2012 academic year is to have 90 percent of the student body promoted to the next grade level.

Option 3: Attendance.

The 2010-2011 academic year GMS had eighteen (18) students with combined absences. The goal is to reduce the number of students with combined absences to seventeen (17).

Local Options for 2012-13

Option 1: Higher Expectations for Student Achievement

The overall percentage of students earning a GPA of 2.5 or better for the 2011-2012 academic year was 90 percent. For the 2012-2013 academic year, we want to increase the student percentage to 92 percent.

Option 2: Parent Involvement

The percentage of parents participating in referral/placement decisions for the 2011-2012 academic year was 75 percent. For the 2012-2013 academic year the participation level is expected to be 80 percent.

Option 3: Community Involvement

The number of students completing community-based activities for the 2011-2012 academic year was 85 percent. An increase of five (5) percentage points to 90 percent for the 2012-2013 academic year is expected.

Recommended Data Sources for Analysis by School Improvement Teams

Identify disaggregated data that shows groups or subgroups in need of improvement in academic performance, behavior or other areas.

Examine data from such areas as:

Highly Qualified Teachers (HQT): Describe how staffing decisions ensure that highly qualified, well-trained teachers provide instruction and how their assignments most effectively address identified. Number and percentage of teachers Non-HQT (www.ncreportcards.org Click on High Quality Teachers tab)

End-of-Grade (EOG) Results disaggregated: (www.ncpublicschools.org/accountability/reporting Click on Greenbook, then State Testing Results)

End-of-Course (EOC) Results disaggregated: (www.ncpublicschools.org/accountability/reporting Click on Greenbook, then State Testing Results)

School Report Card results: (www.ncreportcards.org)

North Carolina Teacher Working Conditions Survey results: (http://ncteachingconditions.org)

North Carolina Teacher Working Conditions Survey: Guide for School Improvement (To assist in conversations about improving teacher working conditions, The New Teacher Center created a guide to support using the SIP process for understanding and improving working conditions at a school. The guide can be downloaded as a single document or in each of its three sections. Find this document at http://ncteachingconditions.org/sites/default/files/attachments/SchoolImprovementGuide.pdf)

Local Data: (e.g., LEA, school, and grade-level assessments, surveys, program-specific assessments)

Career and Technical Education Local Plan

School Demographic Information related to student discipline: (e.g. total office referrals, long- and short-term suspensions, expulsions, alternative school placements, School Incidence Report (SIR) data, or student attendance) (http://www.ncpublicschools.org/research/discipline/reports)

School Demographic Information related to drop-out information and graduation rate data (http://www.ncpublicschools.org/research/dropout/reports)

School Demographic Information related to teacher attendance, teacher turnover, or challenges associated with a high percent of new and/or inexperienced faculty (http://www.ncreportcards.org and locally-maintained data)

School Demographic Information related to student attendance, patterns of student tardiness, early checkouts, late enrollments, high number of transfers, and/or transiency including migratory moves (if applicable) (NC WISE and locally maintained data)

School Perception Information related to parent perceptions and parent needs including information about literacy and education levels (Locally maintained data)

Title III AMAO School Process Information related to an analysis of existing curricula focused on helping English Language Learners (ELLs) work toward attaining proficiency

Title III AMAO School Process Information related to an analysis of existing personnel focused on helping English Language Learners (ELLs) work toward attaining proficiency

School Process Information uncovered by an analysis of curriculum alignment, instructional materials, instructional strategies, reform strategies, and/or extended learning opportunities

Ready Schools Inventory/Ready Schools Plan (http://ncreadyschools.org)

Special Education Continuous Improvement Plan

Title I AYP (http://ayp.ncpublicschools.org)

Healthy Active Children Initiative (http://www.nchealthyschools.org)

School Vision and Mission Statements for The Governor Morehead School for the Blind

Vision:

The Governor Morehead School for the Blind will encourage all students to strive for the highest levels of educational excellence and integrity in all of life's endeavors, as exemplified by the professionals who serve them.

Mission:

Students served by The Governor Morehead School for the Blind will achieve their highest individual potentials through on-going assessments and consistent, enthusiastic practice of high expectation in both academic and Expanded Core Curriculum* areas.

*The Expanded Core Curriculum (ECC) is the body of knowledge and skills that are needed by students with visual impairments due to their unique disability-specific needs. Students with visual impairments need the ECC in addition to the core academic curriculum of general education. The ECC should be used as a framework for assessing students, planning individual goals and providing instruction. The ECC includes (www.afb.org):

- * compensatory or functional academic skills, including communication modes
- * orientation and mobility
- * social interaction skills
- * independent living skills
- * recreation and leisure skills
- * career education
- * technology, use of assistive technology
- * sensory efficiency skills
- * self-determination

| LEA or Charter | | |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------------|------|
| Name/Number: | | |
| School Name/Number: | The Governor Morehead School for the Blind | |
| School Address: | 301 Ashe Avenue, Raleigh, NC 27606 | |
| Plan Year(s): | | |
| Date prepared: | | |
| Principal Signature: | | |
| Local Board Approval | | Date |
| Signature: | | |
| | | Date |
| | | |

School Improvement Team Membership

From GS §115C-105.27: "The principal of each school, representatives of the assistant principals, instructional personnel, instructional support personnel, and teacher assistants assigned to the school building, and parents of children enrolled in the school shall constitute a school improvement team to develop a school improvement plan to improve student performance. Representatives of the assistant principals, instructional personnel, instructional support personnel, and teacher assistants shall be elected by their respective groups by secret ballot....Parents serving on school improvement teams shall reflect the racial and socioeconomic composition of the students enrolled in that school and shall not be members of the building-level staff."

| Committee Position* | Name | Committee Position* | Name |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|------|
| Director | Barbria Bacon | | |
| Principal | Kelly Davis | | |
| SIP Facilitator | Rick Coates | | |
| Residential Life Representative | Dominic Mo | | |
| Residential Life Representative | Laura Wooten (alternate) | | |
| Teacher Representative | Rod Poole | | |
| Teacher Representative | Daniel Simmons | | |
| Teacher Representative | Lori Blake | | |
| Teacher Assistant Representative | Carolyn Muldrow | | |
| Parent Representative | Jan Brown | | |
| | | | |

^{*} Add to list as needed. Each group may have more than one representative.

School Data and Summary Analysis

Use data identified on the Data Sources tab (or from other sources) as the basis for understanding the school and identifying

Guiding Questions: Review school data and consider a variety of perspectives including overall school/student performance, sub-group performance, attendance, teacher satisfaction, instructional practice (from walk-throughs/observations), and student learning (also from walk-throughs/observations as well as data).

1. What does the analysis tell you about your schools strengths?

In the spring of 2010, AdvanceED (SACS) did a Quality Assurance Review of GMS and found the following strengths. (1) Diversity is addressed through assessments and the instruction program. The student body is very diverse. The instructional program accommodates the needs of all the students through assessments, services, and academic programs, courses at local high schools, life skills programs and outreach services. (2) There is evidence of planning, sharing, and collaboration among staff. During observations and interviews, the team observed collaboration, sharing, and teaming among the staff. Collaboration among the staff provides an instructional program that is tailored to meet the needs of the students. (3) Assistive technology is an integral part of the instructional program. Assistive technology is a vital part of the school's instructional program. Computers, software, and other types of technology are available for students. Technology is integrated into the instructional program. Students use the technology very effectively. (4) Human Rights Committee addresses the concerns of the students. The Human Rights Committee is a voice for the students. Students share their concerns with the committee which composed of staff members. The committee investigates and responds to their concerns. During the interviews the students indicated that they were very appreciative and supportive of the committee's decisions. (5) The school communicates information regarding student progress to parents on a continual basis through progress reports, e-mails, and phone. Parents expressed a high degree of satisfaction regarding the school's communication with them. Progress reports are sent to the parents periodically. The school has made a concerted effort to maintain good communication with the parents through e-mails, phone calls, and teleconference calls. (6) Formal assessment data such as benchmark testing is used to monitor the student's Individual Education Plan (IEP) performance. Benchmark testing, assessments, and surveys are used to monitor each student's IEP performance. Each IEP is assessed guarterly to determine student performance. Placement of students in remedial classes is based on benchmark data. (7) Vision and purpose are clearly understood and shared by all stakeholders. The vision and purpose of the school drives the total school program. Stakeholders know the vision and believe that the school fulfills the mission and purpose.

2. What does the analysis tell you about your schools gaps or opportunities for improvement?

In the spring of 2011, DPI conducted a Comprehensive Needs Assessment (CNA) that was unpacked in the fall of 2012. Results of the unpacking found issues with regard to professional development, staff morale, structures and systems, and student achievement. The results were highlighted with decreases in student expectations and achievement, lack of confidence in using technology, data not being used or collected to align instruction, professional development opportunities not improving, as well as communication issues with parents. In many cases, opportunities to make improvements were controlled by outside stakeholders leaving the school and staff unable to initiate or follow-up on improvements. These lack of opportunities combined with extended periods of uncertainty regarding the direction and future of the school help to provide a decreasing morale within the school staff.

3. What data is missing, and how will you go about collecting this information for future use?

As a school for the blind, data needs to be collected to show support and progress within the Expanded Core Curriculum (ECC). Goals involving the ECC need to be established as well as goals regarding academics and parental involvement.

4. Based upon the analysis conducted, what 3-5 top priorities emerge for the school? Cite relevant evidence from your analysis to support these priorities.

Results from the Comprehensive Needs Assessment highlights improvements with regard to staff morale, fidelity and rigor in teaching the various curriculums (SCS, ECC, OCS, Life Skills), baseline test upon entry, technology, and communication.

| | Priority Goal 1 and Associated Strategies | | | | |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|
| | | | | | |
| | Area for im | nprovem | ent and supporting data: | | |
| | | | nts who can read or who can comprehend what is read to them has not been done consistently. Research shows that the ability subject areas. | | |
| | School Goal 1: | | Raise word recognition levels to increase reading fluency and comprehension. | | |
| | Supports this goal: | s district | Every student excels in rigorous and relevant core curriculum that reflects what student need to know including mastery of languages, appreciation of the arts and competencies in the use of technology. | | |
| | Target: | | Fifty percent of our students who can recognize words independently or with adaptive assistance (as required by student IEPs) will increase their word recognition skills by a minimum of 20% in either their independent, instructional, or frustrational level. | | |
| | Indicator: | | Johns Reading Inventory results | | |
| | Milestone da | ite: | May 15th, 2012 | | |
| | Goal 1 Improvement Strategies – Identify research-based strategies whenever possible. | | | | |
| Plan/Do | Strategy 1: | Strategy: | Meet with all teachers trained in Johns and decide on a cut-off date for the baseline assessment. | | |
| /ur | | | · | | |
| 置 | | | vith teachers the week of Jan. 16th-20th, 2012. on cut-off date of February 21st, 2012 for baseline data. | | |
| | | Ì | Collect data in one report to submit by milestone date. | | |
| | Strategy 2: | | | | |
| | | | data by February 21st, 2012, to lead assessor. ne baseline data in one report by March 9th, 2012. | | |
| | | Strategy: | Use data to implement individualization of vocabulary goals for all students assessed. | | |
| | Strategy 3: | | | | |
| | | | d a list of unknown words for each student. | | |
| | | | individualized exercises to help students retain word recognition and meaning. | | |
| | | | Re-assess all students previously tested and record the results. | | |
| | Strategy 4: | Action st | eps: sess by May 15th, 2012. | | |
| | | | ess by May 15th, 2012. | | |
| | | | ne all data (both baseline and 2nd assessment in one report). | | |
| | | | ` ' | | |

| | | 1 | | | | |
|----|---------------|---------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | Strategy: Evaluate the effectiven | ess of this approach. | | | |
| | 04 | Action steps: | | | | |
| | Strategy 5: | Determine the percentage of improvement. | | | | |
| | | 2. Analyze any differences in tead | | | | |
| | | Suggest ways to make the pro- | cess more effective by sharing ideas that worked. | | | |
| | | Strategy: Plan next year's reading | g assessment schedule. | | | |
| | | Action steps: | | | | |
| | Strategy 6: | Select assessment tool and dates. | | | | |
| | | Determine which staff member | | | | |
| | | Decide which staff member will | | | | |
| | | 4. Discuss how data will be used | for instructional purposes. | | | |
| | How will w | e fund these strategies? | | | | |
| lā | Funding so | ource 1: | Funding amount: | | | |
| | Funding so | | Funding amount: | | | |
| | Funding so | | Funding amount: | | | |
| | Funding so | | Funding amount: | | | |
| | Funding so | | Funding amount: | | | |
| | l unung sc | dice 3. | Total initiative funding: | | | |
| | | | Total illitiative fulluling. | | | |
| | Review free | quency: Quarterly | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | mplementation team: | | | | |
| | | | ther the strategies were deployed with fidelity? | | | |
| | | | Johns Reading Inventory). Inventory log indicating pre/post results. (Completed in spring semester of | | | |
| | | 2012). Copy of results maintained by facilitator. | | | | |
| 13 | How will yo | | tegies led to progress toward the goal? (Include formative, benchmark, and summative | | | |
| | data as app | propriate.) | | | | |
| | Johns Readir | Johns Reading Inventory Pre and Post tests | | | | |
| | What does | data show regarding the resu | Its of the implemented strategies? | | | |
| | Results indic | ate a successful completion of the | goal that exceed prescribed levels | | | |
| , | Based upo | n identified results, should/ho | w should strategies be changed? | | | |
| < | We do not ha | ave any reliable results at this time. | We are not ready to change strategies at this time. | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Priority Goal 2 and Associated Strategies

Area for improvement and supporting data:

The Governor Morehead School does not have a Parent Teacher Organization (PTO). Research has shown parental involvement can have a positive impact on student performance. Because we are a residential school, and therefore most of our students' family members live a good distance from the school, we need to ascertain whether a PTO is feasible.

| School Goal 2: | Ascertain whether a PTO is possible |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Supports this district goal: | School leaders will create a culture that embraces change and promotes dynamic continuous improvement. |
| Target: | A minimum of 8 families to represent and indicate a commitment to a PTO at our school. |
| Indicator: | PTO Survey results |
| Milestone date: | October 15, 2012 |

Goal 2 Improvement Strategies - Identify research-based strategies whenever possible.

| Oda z improvement otrategies – identity rescaren-based strategies whenever possible. | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| | | Strategy: E-mail will be the first tool used to decipher interest in a PTO. | |
| | Strategy 1: | Action steps: | |
| | | An e-mail list will be compiled no later than February 15, 2012. | |
| | | 2. The parent SIP team member will contact families on the list by May 15, 2012, and ask them if they are interested in being part of a PTO | |
| | | at our school. | |
| | | 3. During the May meeting of the SIP team, the parent SIP member will report to the SIP team the results of the e-mail request. | |
| | | Strategy: An information booth about the PTO will be set up during student registration day in August 2012 to survey other possible | |
| | | interested family members. | |
| | | Action steps: | |
| ۱۵ | Strategy 2: | 1. The parent representative on the SIP team and other family members who indicated through e-mail their interest in PTO will work | |
| 2 | | 2. They will compile a survey to disseminate to family members who come to registration | |
| Plan/Do | | They will greet and meet the family members on the day of registration and encourage them to complete the survey by Sept. 1, 2012. | |
| ┻┃ | | 4. They will collect and analyze the data by Sept. 8, 2012. | |
| | | Strategy: The SIP parent representative will report to the other SIP team members the results of the survey and a decision will be made by | |
| | | the team concerning the feasibility of a PTO for the 2012-2013 academic school year. | |
| | | Action steps: | |
| | | 1. The parent representative will share the results of the survey and its analysis to the other members of the SIP team by Sept. 15, 2012. | |
| | | 2. A discussion will be held on Sept. 15, 2012, to decide if more time is needed to collect data on family member interest. | |
| | | 3. The SIP team will decide by Oct. 15, 2012, whether a PTO is feasible. | |
| | | Strategy: If survey results support it, establish a working PTO, with an initial meeting to take place by January 31, 2013. | |
| | Ctuata au . A. | Action steps: | |
| | Strategy 4: | Select a time and place for the first PTO meeting. | |
| | | Contact all family members, with a special notification to those who showed earlier interest. | |
| | | 3. GMS staff treat PTO members to a buffet during the first meeting. | |

How will we fund these strategies?

Funding source 1:

Funding source 2:

Funding source 3:

Funding source 4:

Funding source 5:

Funding source 5:

Funding source 5:

Funding source 5:

Total initiative funding:

Review frequency: Quarterly

Assigned implementation team: all faculty, all residential staff, and administration

What data will be used to determine whether the strategies were deployed with fidelity?

Survey parent response towards forming PTA. "Pre" would be fall survey sheets indicating interest, and "post" would be final/spring membership list. Copy of results maintained by PTA/facilitator.

How will you determine whether the strategies led to progress toward the goal? (Include formative, benchmark, and summative data as appropriate.)

Survey sheets, Membership Lists, Membership applications

What does data show regarding the results of the implemented strategies?

Increase in interest in parents willing to participate in PTO

Based upon identified results, should/how should strategies be changed?

We do not have any reliable results at this time. We are not ready to change strategies at this time.



| | Priority Goal 3 and Associated Strategies | | | | |
|---------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|--|
| | | | | | |
| Aı | rea for im | provem | ent and supporting data: | | |
| | Assessment of students who can read impacts all other subject are | | who can read or who can comprehend what is read to them has not been done consistently. Research shows that the ubject areas. | e ability to | |
| Sc | School Goal 3: | | Raise reading levels to improve reading fluency and comprehension | | |
| | Supports this district goal: | | Every student excels in rigorous and relevant core curriculum that reflects what student need to know including mastery of languages, appreciation of the arts and competencies in the use of technology. | | |
| Та | Target: | | Fifty percent of our students who can read or comprehend when read to will improve their reading comprehension by a minimum of one level; i.e., each student will move from either frustrational to instructional level or instructional to independent level in a minimum of one graded passage. | | |
| Ind | dicator: | | Johns Reading Inventory results | | |
| Mi | ilestone da | tes: | First: January 15, 2013, and second: May 15, 2013. | | |
| Plan/Do | | | pal 3 Improvement Strategies – Identify research-based strategies whenever possible. Decide who will assess and when the assessments will be completed. | | |
| | | Action steps: | | | |
| s | strategy 1: | By August 17, 2012, decide whether one or more assessors will be needed. | | | |
| | | 2. (If more than one assessor is necessary), have a team meeting during the week of August 13th-17th to discuss assessment p | | plans. | |
| | | 3. Agree on a cut-off date of September 14, 2012 for baseline data. | | | |
| | | Strategy: | Collect data in one report to submit by milestone date. | | |
| s | strategy 2: | Action steps: | | | |
| | | 1. (If applicable), submit data by Sept. 21, 2012 to lead assessor. | | | |
| | | 2. Combii | ne baseline data in one report by Sept. 28, 2012. | | |
| | | Strategy: | Use data to implement individualization of reading comprehension goals for all student assessed. | | |
| | | Action ste | eps: | | |
| S | strategy 3: | | ssessor will disseminate assessment data to all teaching staff by Oct. 12, 2012. | | |
| | | | ng staff will attend a ten-hour workshop in Oct. 2012 on reading in the content area. | | |
| | | 3. By Oct | . 30, staff will create individualized lesson plans for the student they teach based on the assessment data. | | |

| | | Strategy: Use data to help students select books for leisure reading. |
|-------|-------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Strategy 4: | Action steps: |
| | | With the assistance of the teacher in the Media Center, staff and their assigned students will choose appropriate books for leisure reading. |
| | | Students will take AR tests on their books when they complete their reading. |
| | | 3. No later than January 15, 2013, the lead assessor will determine from AR Records if students are choosing books appropriate for their abilities; i.e., whether students are steadily increasing the reading level difficulty when their AR testing demonstrates they should be. (For example, if a child reads a book on AR Level 4.1 and passes the book's reading comprehension test with a 70% or higher score, he should next select a book on the 4.2 scale, and so on.) |
| | | 4. After this analysis, if necessary, the lead assessor and classroom teacher will help each student choose appropriate reading selections. |
| | Strategy 5: | Strategy: All staff will facilitate the reading of the above selected books (see Strategy 4). |
| | | Action steps: |
| | | 1. Every Friday, 50 minutes of the designated period will be used for reading, money management, or signature writing. Individual teachers will determine the appropriate time allotment for each activity. |
| | | 2. The Residential Director will facilitate with her staff the scheduling of specific reading times during the weekly evening schedules. |
| | | 3. Records will be kept by instructional and residential staff and submitted to the lead assessor by May 15, 2013. |
| 0 | Strategy 6: | Strategy: Re-assess all students previously tested and record results. |
| 9 | | Action steps: |
| Plan/ | | 1. Re-assess by May 15, 2013. |
| ᄅ | | 2. (if applicable), submit data to lead assessor. |
| | | Combine all data (both baseline and 2nd assessment) in one report. |
| | | Strategy: Evaluate the effectiveness of this approach. |
| | | Action steps: |
| | | Determine the percentage of improvement. |
| | | Analyze the differences in teacher/student groups. |
| | | Suggest ways to make the process more effective by sharing ideas that worked. |

How will we fund these strategies? Funding source 1: **Funding amount:** Funding source 2: **Funding amount:** Funding source 3: **Funding amount:** Funding source 4: **Funding amount:** Funding source 5: **Funding amount: Total initiative funding:** Review frequency: Quarterly **Assigned implementation team:** all faculty, all residential staff, and administration What data will be used to determine whether the strategies were deployed with fidelity? Comprehension (Pre/post assessment using Johns Reading Inventory), Accelerated Reading program used for reinforcement of goal (records-Ms. Wooten). Special Friday participation records (facilitator) involving independent reading and word comprehension for reinforcement of goal. How will you determine whether the strategies led to progress toward the goal? (Include formative, benchmark, and summative data as appropriate.) Primary – Johns Reading Inventory Assessment (Pre & Post testing) Secondary – Participation logs in remediation class (Special Friday)

What does data show regarding the results of the implemented strategies?

Information not available until June 2013

Based upon identified results, should/how should strategies be changed?

| Area for in | | Priority Goal 4 and Associated Strategies | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| Area for in | | | |
| 7 11 Ou 101 111 | nprovem | ent and supporting data: | |
| Signature writing skills have proven to be a valuable communication tool, as well as a tool for developing self-esteem and independent living sk GMS students should be given the opportunity to develop a working signature. | | skills. / | |
| School Goal | 4: | Raise the level of signature writing skills in all students. | |
| Supports thi goal: | s district | Every student excels in rigorous and relevant core curriculum that reflects what student need to know including mastery of languages, appreciation of the arts and competencies in the use of technology. | |
| Target: | | A minimum of 60% of GMS students will show improvement in signature writing. | |
| Indicator: | | Data collected through assessments | |
| Milestone da | ite: | May 10, 2013. | |
| | Strategy: | Assessment schedule | |
| Strategy 1: | | st all GMS students prior to September 14th. | |
| | | ar assessment given before January 25, 2013. | |
| | 3. Post-te | est assessment given before May 10, 2013 | |
| | Strategy: | Oversight and data collection | |
| | Action steps: | | |
| Strategy 2: | ואכנוטוו אני | One individual will be assigned to oversee collection of data for all assessments. | |
| Strategy 2: | - | dividual will be assigned to oversee collection of data for all assessments. | |
| Strategy 2: | 1. One in | dividual will be assigned to oversee collection of data for all assessments. vill be collected at the end of each assessment period. | |
| Strategy 2: | One in Data w | | |
| Strategy 2: | One in Data w | /ill be collected at the end of each assessment period. Instructional practices to teach and reinforce. | |

2. Write first and last name.

3. Sign name within a confined space (using a signature guide)(if needed).

| | Action steps: |
|-------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | 1. Every Friday, 50 minutes of the designated period will be used for reading, money management, or signature writing. Individual teachers will determine the appropriate time allotment for each activity. |
| | 2. During all school activities (instructional and residential), instructor will reinforce signature writing skills at least once a month. Document will indicate signature and activity involved (I.e., shopping list, deposit receipt, etc.). |
| | Strategy: Evaluate the effectiveness of this approach. |
| | Action steps: |
| Strategy 5: | Determine the percentage of improvement. |
| | Analyze the differences involving the various instructional levels. |
| | 3. Suggest ways to make the process more effective by sharing ideas that worked. |

Funding source 1: Funding amount: Funding source 2: **Funding amount: Funding amount:** Funding source 3: Funding source 4: **Funding amount:** Funding source 5: **Funding amount:**

Total initiative funding:

Review frequency: Quarterly

Assigned implementation team: all faculty, all residential staff, and administration

What data will be used to determine whether the strategies were deployed with fidelity?

Signature Writing (Expand Core Curriculum/Texas School) (Pre/post assessments-initials, full signature, signature card). Pre-assessment in fall semester, post assessment in spring semester. Special Friday participation records (facilitator) show involvement in weekly to monthly reinforcement of skills. Activity log (facilitator) showing students using skills outside of the classroom, supporting documents for each student maintained by student's case manager.

How will you determine whether the strategies led to progress toward the goal? (Include formative, benchmark, and summative data as appropriate.)

Primary - Teacher made assessment (pre & post testing) Secondary – Participation logs in remediation class (Special Friday). Activity logs showing reinforcement of skills outside of remediation.

What does data show regarding the results of the implemented strategies? Information not available until June 2013 Based upon identified results, should/how should strategies be changed? Information not available until June 2013

| | Priority Goal 5A (Elementary School) and Associated Strategies | | | | |
|---------|----------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|
| | | | | | |
| | Area for impro | ovement and si | upporting data: | | |
| | | nts with visual i | ol for the Blind recognizes the importance of the Expanded Core Curriculum (ECC) and its implementation to meet the visual mpairments. The GMS School Improvement Team selected the ECC Components, Independent Living Skills, to focus on | | |
| | School Goal 5A: | | Raise GMS elementary school students' money management skills to improve daily living and independent living skills. GMS students will pass a teacher-designed money management assessment with 80% accuracy. | | |
| | Supports this | district goal: | Every student excels in rigorous and relevant core curriculum that reflects what student need to know including mastery of languages, appreciation of the arts and competencies in the use of technology. | | |
| | Target: | | 50% of all GMS elementary students will improve their money management knowledge and skills. | | |
| | Indicator: | | Data collected through assessments. | | |
| 0 | Milestone date | e: | May 10, 2013 | | |
| Plan/Do | | <u> </u> | Goal 5A Improvement Strategies – Identify research-based strategies whenever possible. | | |
| | | Action steps: | | | |
| | Strategy 1: | By August 1 | 13, 2012, develop money management curriculum and to be approved by the elementary school team by August 17. | | |
| | | 2. By August 1 | 13, 2012, develop money management assessment tool and to be approved by elementary school team by August 17. | | |
| | | 3. Conduct mo | oney management professional development by August 17. | | |
| | | Strategy: Dec | cide who will assess and when the assessments will be completed. | | |
| | | Action steps: | | | |
| | Strategy 2: | 1. By August 1 | 15, 2012, decide whether one or more assessors will be needed. | | |
| | | 2. If more than one assessor is necessary, have a team meeting between Aug. 13-17 to discuss assessment plans | | | |
| | | 3. Establish baseline data by September 14, 2012. | | | |
| | | Strategy: Ove | ersight and Data Collection | | |
| | | Action steps: | | | |
| | Stratogy 3: | One individ | ual will be assigned to oversee collection of data for all assessments. | | |
| | Strategy 3: | | collected at the end of each assessment period. | | |
| | | | n in one report to submit by milestone date (May 10, 2013). | | |
| | | | ata to lead assessor by May 1, 2012. | | |
| | | b. Lead ass | essor will combine data into one report and submit by May 10, 2013. | | |

| | Strategy 4: | Strategy: Assessment Schedule |
|----------------|-------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | Action steps: |
| | | Pre-test prior to September 14, 2012. |
| | | 2. Mid-year assessment by February 1, 2013. |
| | | 3. Post-test prior to May 10, 2013. |
| D ₀ | | Strategy: Instructional practices to teach and reinforce. |
| lan/ | | Action steps: |
| • | | 1. Academic: |
| | | a. Differentiate coins and values. |
| | | b. Make change for a dollar with a various combination of coins. |
| | | c. Differentiate and organize different denominations of bills. |
| | | d. Budgeting/purchasing decisions. |
| | Stratogy 5: | e. Practice making a single-item purchase independently on-campus. |
| | Strategy 5: | 2. Life Skills: |
| | | a. Differentiate coins and values. |
| | | b. Practice making a small purchase with assistance in the classroom. |
| | | c. Differentiate and organize different denominations of bills. |
| | | d. Budgeting/purchasing decisions. |
| | | e. Practice making a single-item purchase with assistance on-campus. |
| | | 3. Every Friday, 50 minutes of the designated period will be used for reading, money management, or signature writing. Individual teachers will determine the appropriate time allotment for each activity. |
| | | Strategy: Reinforce skills with activities on-campus and off-campus. |
| | | Action steps: |
| | Strategy 6: | During on-campus, off-campus, and residential activities (i.e., CBI Trips, O&M Lessons, etc.) instructors will reinforce money management skills at least once a month. Documentation will include receipt, signature of instructor, and activity involved. |
| | | Instructor will submit documentation to lead assessor. |
| | | Strategy: Evaluate the effectiveness of this approach. |
| | Strategy 7: | Action steps: |
| | J | Determine the percentage of improvement. |
| | | Analyze the differences involving the various instructional levels. |
| ۵ | | 3. Suggest ways to make the process more effective by sharing ideas that worked. |

| | Plan/ | How will we fund these strategies? | | | | | |
|--|-------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | Funding source 1: Funding amount: | | | | | |
| | | Funding source 2: Funding amount: | | | | | |
| | | Funding source 3: Funding amount: | | | | | |
| | | Funding source 4: Funding amount: | | | | | |
| | | Funding source 5: Funding amount: | | | | | |
| | | Total initiative funding: | | | | | |
| | | Review frequency: Quarterly Assigned implementation team: all faculty, all residential staff, and administration | | | | | |
| | | What data will be used to determine whether the strategies were deployed with fidelity? | | | | | |
| | | Money Management (Expand Core Curriculum/Texas School) (Pre/post assessments-teacher made). Pre-assessment in fall semester, post-assessment in spring semester. Special Friday participation records (facilitator) show involvement in weekly to monthly reinforcement of skills. Activity log (facilitator) showing students using skills used outside of classroom, supporting documents for each student maintained by case manager. | | | | | |
| | ec | How will you determine whether the strategies led to progress toward the goal? (Include formative, benchmark, and summative data as appropriate.) | | | | | |
| | | Primary - Teacher made assessment (pre & post testing) Secondary – Participation logs in remediation class (Special Friday). Activity logs showing reinforcement of skills outside of remediation. | | | | | |
| | | What does data show regarding the results of the implemented strategies? | | | | | |
| | | Information not available until June 2013 | | | | | |

Based upon identified results, should/how should strategies be changed?

| | | | Priority Goal 5B (Middle School) and Associated Strategies | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Area for impro | ovement and s | upporting data: | | | | | | | |
| The Governor Morehead School for the Blind recognizes the importance of the Expanded Core Curriculum (ECC) and its implementation to meet to needs of students with visual impairments. The GMS School Improvement Team selected the ECC Components, Independent Living Skills, to focus money management. | | | | | | | | | | |
| | School Goal 5 | iB: | Raise GMS middle school students' money management skills to improve daily living and independent living skills. GMS students will pass a teacher-designed money management assessment with 80% accuracy. | | | | | | | |
| | Supports this | district goal: | Every student excels in rigorous and relevant core curriculum that reflects what student need to know including mastery of languages, appreciation of the arts and competencies in the use of technology. | | | | | | | |
| | Target: | | 50% of all GMS middle school students will improve their money management knowledge and skills. | | | | | | | |
| | Indicator: | | Data collected through assessments. | | | | | | | |
| | Milestone date | e: | May 10, 2013. | | | | | | | |
| rian/Do | | Goal 5B Improvement Strategies – Identify research-based strategies whenever possible. Strategy: Develop curriculum and assessment tool and professional development seminar. | | | | | | | | |
| | | Action steps: | | | | | | | | |
| | Strategy 1: | 1. By August 13, 2012, develop money management curriculum and to be approved by the middle school team by August 17, 2012. | | | | | | | | |
| | | 2. By August 13, 2012, develop money management assessment tool and to be approved by middle school team by August 17, 2012. | | | | | | | | |
| | | 3. Conduct money management professional development by August 17, 2012. | | | | | | | | |
| | | Strategy: Decide who will assess and when the assessments will be completed. | | | | | | | | |
| | | Action steps: | | | | | | | | |
| | Strategy 2: | 1. By August | By August 15, 2012, decide whether one or more assessors will be needed. | | | | | | | |
| | | 2. If more than one assessor is necessary, have a team meeting between August 13-17 to discuss assessment plans. | | | | | | | | |
| | | 3. Establish baseline data by September 14, 2012. | | | | | | | | |
| | | Strategy: Oversight and Data Collection | | | | | | | | |
| | | Action steps: | | | | | | | | |
| | | 1. One individ | ual will be assigned to oversee collection of data for all assessments. | | | | | | | |
| | Strategy 3: | 2. Data will be | e collected at the end of each assessment period. | | | | | | | |
| | | 3. Collect data | a in one report to submit by milestone date (May 10, 2013). | | | | | | | |
| | | a. Submit d | ata to lead assessor by May 1, 2013. | | | | | | | |
| | | b. Lead ass | sessor will combine data into one report and submit by May 10, 2013. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

| Strategy 4: Action steps: 1. Pre-test prior to September 14, 2012. 2. Mid-year assessment by February 1, 2013. 3. Post-test prior to May 10, 2013. Strategy: Instructional practices to teach and reinforce. Action steps: 1. Academic: a. Differentiate coins and values. b. Make change for a dollar with a various combination of coins. | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| Strategy 4: 1. Pre-test prior to September 14, 2012. 2. Mid-year assessment by February 1, 2013. 3. Post-test prior to May 10, 2013. Strategy: Instructional practices to teach and reinforce. Action steps: 1. Academic: a. Differentiate coins and values. | |
| 2. Mid-year assessment by February 1, 2013. 3. Post-test prior to May 10, 2013. Strategy: Instructional practices to teach and reinforce. Action steps: 1. Academic: a. Differentiate coins and values. | |
| 3. Post-test prior to May 10, 2013. Strategy: Instructional practices to teach and reinforce. Action steps: 1. Academic: a. Differentiate coins and values. | |
| Strategy: Instructional practices to teach and reinforce. Action steps: 1. Academic: a. Differentiate coins and values. | |
| Action steps: 1. Academic: a. Differentiate coins and values. | |
| Academic: a. Differentiate coins and values. | |
| Academic: a. Differentiate coins and values. | |
| | i |
| b. Make change for a dollar with a various combination of coins. | |
| | |
| c. Differentiate and organize different denominations of bills. | |
| d. Budgeting/purchasing decisions. | |
| Strategy 5: e. Practice making a small multiple-item purchase independently on-campus and off-campus. | |
| 2. Life Skills: | |
| a. Differentiate coins and values. | |
| b. Practice making a small purchase with assistance in the classroom. | |
| c. Differentiate and organize different denominations of bills. | |
| d. Budgeting/purchasing decisions. | |
| e. Practice making a single-item purchase with assistance on-campus and off-campus. | |
| 3. Every Friday, 50 minutes of the designated period will be used for reading, money management, or signature writing. Ind teachers will determine the appropriate time allotment for each activity. | lividual |
| Strategy: Reinforce skills with activities on-campus and off-campus. | |
| Action steps: | |
| Strategy 6: 1. During on-campus, off-campus, and residential activities (i.e., CBI Trips, O&M Lessons, etc.) instructors will reinforce mor management skills at least once a month. Documentation will include receipt, signature of instructor, and activity involved. | ney |
| Instructor will submit documentation to lead assessor. | |
| Strategy: Evaluate the effectiveness of this approach. | |
| Action steps: | |
| Strategy 7: 1. Determine the percentage of improvement. | |
| Analyze the differences involving the various instructional levels. | |
| 3. Suggest ways to make the process more effective by sharing ideas that worked. | |

How will we fund these strategies? Funding source 1: Funding amount: Funding source 2: Funding amount: **Funding amount:** Funding source 3: Funding source 4: Funding amount: Funding source 5: **Funding amount:** Total initiative funding: Review frequency: Quarterly Assigned implementation team: all faculty, all residential staff, and administration What data will be used to determine whether the strategies were deployed with fidelity? Money Management (Expand Core Curriculum/Texas School) (Pre/post assessments-teacher made). Pre-assessment in fall semester, post-assessment in spring semester. Special Friday participation records (facilitator) show involvement in weekly to monthly reinforcement of skills. Activity log (facilitator) showing students using skills used outside of classroom, supporting documents for each student maintained by case manager. How will you determine whether the strategies led to progress toward the goal? (Include formative, benchmark, and summative data as appropriate.) Primary - Teacher made assessment (pre & post testing) Secondary - Participation logs in remediation class (Special Friday). Activity logs showing reinforcement of skills outside of remediation. What does data show regarding the results of the implemented strategies? Information not available until June 2013 Based upon identified results, should/how should strategies be changed?

| Priority Goal 5C (High School) and Associated Strategies | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | Thority Courses (mgir contoc) and Accordated Chategies | | | | | |
| Area for impre | ovement and s | upporting data: | | | | | |
| | ents with visual i | pol for the Blind recognizes the importance of the Expanded Core Curriculum (ECC) and its implementation to meet the visu impairments. The GMS School Improvement Team selected the ECC Components, Independent Living Skills, to focus on | | | | | |
| School Goal 5 | 5C: | Raise GMS high school students' money management skills to improve daily living and independent living skills. GMS students will pass a teacher-designed money management assessment with 80% accuracy. | | | | | |
| Supports this | Every student excels in rigorous and relevant core curriculum that reflects what student need to know including mastery of languages, appreciation of the arts and competencies in the use of technology. | | | | | | |
| Target: | | 50% of all GMS high school students will improve their money management knowledge and skills. | | | | | |
| Indicator: | | Data collected through assessments. | | | | | |
| Milestone dat | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Strategy: De | Goal 5C Improvement Strategies – Identify research-based strategies whenever possible. velop curriculum and assessment tool and professional development seminar. | | | | | |
| Strategy 1: | Action steps: | | | | | | |
| Otrategy 1. | 1. By August 13, 2012, develop money management curriculum and to be approved by the high school team by August 17. | | | | | | |
| | 2. By August 13, 2012, develop money management assessment tool and to be approved by high school team by August 17. | | | | | | |
| | 3. Conduct m | oney management professional development by August 17. | | | | | |
| | Strategy: Decide who will assess and when the assessments will be completed. | | | | | | |
| | Action steps: | | | | | | |
| Strategy 2: | 1. By August 15, 2012, decide whether one or more assessors will be needed. | | | | | | |
| | 2. If more that | n one assessor is necessary, have a team meeting between August 13-17 to discuss assessment plans | | | | | |
| | 3. Establish baseline data by September 14, 2012. | | | | | | |
| | Strategy: Ove | ersight and Data Collection | | | | | |
| | Action steps: | | | | | | |
| Strategy 3: | | lual will be assigned to oversee collection of data for all assessments. | | | | | |
| J. a.o.gy o. | | e collected at the end of each assessment period. | | | | | |
| | | a in one report to submit by milestone date (May 10, 2013) lata to lead assessor by May 1, 2013. | | | | | |
| | | sessor will combine data into one report and submit by May 10, 2013. | | | | | |
| | 2. <u></u> | | | | | | |

| | Strategy: Assessment Schedule |
|-------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 01 | Action steps: |
| Strategy 4: | 1. Pre-test prior to September 14, 2012. |
| | 2. Mid-year assessment by February 1, 2013. |
| | 3. Post-test prior to May 10, 2013. |
| | Strategy: Instructional practices to teach and reinforce. |
| | Action steps: |
| | 1. Academic: |
| | a. Differentiate coins and values. |
| | b. Make change for a dollar with a various combination of coins. |
| | c. Differentiate and organize different denominations of bills. |
| | d. Budgeting/purchasing decisions. |
| | e. Practice making a multiple-item purchase independently. |
| Strategy 5: | f. Practice using money identification technology (e.g., appropriate iPhone, iPad, iPod applications). |
| | 2. Life Skills: |
| | a. Differentiate coins and values. |
| | b. Practice making a small purchase with assistance in the classroom. |
| | c. Differentiate and organize different denominations of bills. |
| | d. Budgeting/purchasing decisions. |
| | e. Practice making a multiple-item purchase with assistance on- and off-campus. |
| | 3. Every Friday, 50 minutes of the designated period will be used for reading, money management, or signature writing. Individual teachers will determine the appropriate time allotment for each activity. |
| | Strategy: Reinforce skills with activities on-campus and off-campus. |
| | Action steps: |
| Strategy 6: | 1. During on-campus, off-campus, and residential activities (i.e., CBI Trips, O&M Lessons, etc.) instructors will reinforce money management skills at least once a month. Documentation will include receipt, signature of instructor, and activity involved. |
| | Instructor will submit documentation to lead assessor. |
| | Strategy: Evaluate the effectiveness of this approach. |
| | Action steps: |
| Strategy 7: | Determine the percentage of improvement. |
| | Analyze the differences involving the various instructional levels. |
| | Suggest ways to make the process more effective by sharing ideas that worked. |

How will we fund these strategies?

Funding source 1:
Funding source 2:
Funding source 3:
Funding source 4:
Funding source 5:
Funding sour

Review frequency: Quarterly

Assigned implementation team: all faculty, all residential staff, and administration

What data will be used to determine whether the strategies were deployed with fidelity?

Money Management (Expand Core Curriculum/Texas School) (Pre/post assessments-teacher made). Pre-assessment in fall semester, post-assessment in spring semester. Special Friday participation records (facilitator) show involvement in weekly to monthly reinforcement of skills. Activity log (facilitator) showing students using skills used outside of classroom, supporting documents for each student maintained by case manager.

hec

How will you determine whether the strategies led to progress toward the goal? (Include formative, benchmark, and summative data as appropriate.)

Primary - Teacher made assessment (pre & post testing) Secondary – Participation logs in remediation class (Special Friday). Activity logs showing reinforcement of skills outside of remediation.

What does data show regarding the results of the implemented strategies?

Information not available until June 2013

な

Based upon identified results, should/how should strategies be changed?

Safe School Plan for the Governor Morehead School for the Blind

Pursuant to General Statute §115C-105.47, this Safe School Plan provides required information regarding roles and responsibilities of district and school-level personnel with respect to establishing and maintaining a safe, secure, and orderly school.

Name and role of person(s) responsible for implementing this plan:

Barbria Bacon School Director, Debbie Jackson and Fred McEachern Safety

Statement of Responsibility for the School District Superintendent

In accordance with General Statute §115C-105.47 (b)(2), the district superintendent is responsible for coordinating adoption and implementation of this plan, evaluating the principal's performance with respect to school safety, monitoring and evaluating implementation of this plan at the school-level, and coordinating with local law enforcement and court officials as appropriate.

In the event the district superintendent fails to fulfill these responsibilities as required by state law, the following disciplinary consequences may occur according to the policies of the State Board of Education.

Statement of Responsibility for the School Principal

In accordance with General Statute §115C-105.47 (b)(3), the school principal is responsible for restoring, if necessary, and maintaining a safe, secure, and orderly school environment. The duties of the principal with respect to this include exhibiting appropriate leadership for school personnel and students, providing for alternative placements for students who are seriously disruptive, reporting all criminal acts under G.S. 115C-288(g), and providing appropriate disciplinary consequences for disruptive students.

In the event the school principal fails to fulfill these responsibilities as required by state law, the following disciplinary consequences may occur as specified by the student Code of Conduct, ESDB policies and procedures, Individual Education Plans, Behavioral Plans and Medical considerations.

Statement of the Roles of Other Administrators, Teachers, and Other School Personnel

In accordance with General Statute §115C-105.47 (b)(4), other school personnel are tasked as follows with restoring, if necessary, and maintaining a safe, secure, and orderly school environment:

Assistant Principal(s): N/A

Teachers: Training and procedures for school-wide positive behavior support system, Non-Violent Crisis Intervention practices, reporting incidents following staff and student handbook guidelines.

Teacher Assistants: Training and procedures for school-wide positive behavior support system, Non-Violent Crisis Intervention practices, reporting incidents following staff and student handbook guidelines.

Other School Staff: As trained -referring students for prevention and identification and intervention services.

Services for At-risk Students

Pursuant to General Statute §115C-105.47 (b)(5), the following procedures are used to identify and serve the needs of students at-risk of academic failure, or of engaging in disruptive or disorderly behavior, or both.

All students have an written Individual Education Plan (IEP) with a team of professionals and parents participating in the development of a plan to address students' academic, behavioral and transition services. GMS has professional services staff: Contracted psychiatrist, on-staff psychologist, school guidance counselor, social worker, behavior program technicians and speech language pathologist and occupational therapist. Students participate in individual and group standardized assessment and have individual achievement profiles and learning plans. Students with behavioral health concerns have a Functional Behavioral Analysis (FBA) and a Behavior Intervention Plan (BIP) is developed and adopted. Student with a medical diagnosis are followed by a multi-disciplinary team that includes the Director of Student Health, an RN, who manages medications. Student may be under the care of the GMS contracted psychiatrist or a private psychiatrist. Student may receive treatment on-campus or at home by Mental Health Therapists who work collaboratively with parents, students and GMS staff.

Pursuant to General Statute §115C-105.47 (b)(6), the following mechanisms are used for assessing the needs of disruptive and disorderly students who are at risk of academic failure, providing these students with services to assist them in achieving academically and modifying their behavior, and for removing them from classrooms when necessary.

A multi-disciplinary team assesses students who are not responding to the school-wide positive behavior system and has multiple disciplinary incident reports. Incident reports are written and discipline is determined based on the student handbook. Students may receive a lunch detention or a portion of the day in In-School-Suspension. For severe infractions Out-of-School-Suspension may occur. If an assault occurs, the School Resource Officer is called.

Pursuant to General Statute §115C-105.47 (b)(13a), the following services are provided to students assigned to an alternative school or an alternative learning program.

School does not have an identified alternative learning program but may transition students from outside alternative learning programs that can benefit from small class size and supports.

In accordance with General Statute §115C-105.47 (b)(7), the following measurable (goals) for improving school safety and order are in place. (Copy as needed depending upon number of goals.)

| Goal: | Institute a locked campus. |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Target: | Entrances to all buildings on campus will be locked. |
| Indicator: | Safety and security assessment indicated GMS is an open campus easily penetrable. |
| Milestone Date: | Reviewed 2012-2013, ongoing updates. |

| Goal: | Institute a Lockdown Procedure coordinated with State Capitol Police. |
|--------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Target: | Include Managers/ staff in developing procedures. Create office/classroom materials/ Lockbox for 1st responders. |
| Indicator: | Limited warning system for staff to keep students inside buildings if notified. |
| Milestone Date: | Instituted January 2013 with training and materials. Practiced and drilled with students. |
| In accordance with | General Statute \$115C-105.47 (b)(8), the following measures are used to determine the effectiveness of the |

In accordance with General Statute §115C-105.47 (b)(8), the following measures are used to determine the effectiveness of the school's efforts to assist at-risk students, including effectiveness of procedures adopted under G.S. 115C-105.48 (Alternative Learning Programs).

Target:

Indicator:

Milestone Date:

Target:

Indicator:

Milestone Date:

Target:

Indicator:

Milestone Date:

In accordance with General Statute §115C-105.47 (b)(9), the following planned or recently completed professional development aligns with the goals of our safe school initiative:

| Professional Development | Planned/Completed | | |
|------------------------------------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| Orientation Week - 2012 and 2013 | Completed | | |
| Positive Behavior Support & Non-Violent Crisis | Planned | | |
| Intervention | Fiaillieu | | |
| Mental Health and MIQ Teams | Planned | | |
| Mandatory Workdays fall and spring | Planned | | |
| Campus Lockdown Practices Annual Review | Planned | | |
| | | | |

Pursuant to General Statute §115C-105.47 (b)(10), identify the district's plan to work effectively with local law enforcement and court officials.

State Capitol Police Officer and Security guards assigned to campus 24/7. Administrative team member continuously on call. Building Safety Representatives serve on a campus wide committee and communication system. Safety and security staff work closely with students, parents, staff and campus visitors.

Pursuant to General Statute §115C-105.47 (b)(11), identify the district's plan to provide access to information to the school community, parents, and representatives of the local community.

School representatives serve on the Human Rights Committee (HRC) which minimally meets quarterly with staff, parents and students "to review and examine programs or procedures related to student rights, safety, security and quality of life." HRC meets regularly with DisAbility Rights (DR) regional representative and DisAbility Rights presents workshop/information to the students. Parents are informed of the HRC and DR roles and are invited to participate. A School Improvement Committee with subcommittees work on specific issues that support school initiatives. GMS has a goal to increase parent involvement in the school and sends information home weekly to keep parents abreast of opportunities and the school's continuous improvements. Parents are members of their student's Individual Education Plan. Press releases and news stories are included community media. GMS includes the school community, parents, and representatives of the local community in open meetings, surveys, forums and committees - including ongoing accreditation preparation and in accreditation interviews with review teams from the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools (Accredited through 2017) and the Council of Schools for the Blind, a national consortium. GMS staff participates in the Central Regional Education Alliance, continuously communicating with regional public school personnel and Department of Public Instruction representatives. GMS and Wake County Public Schools and North Carolina Central University partner with program development and campus programs.

| Program or Strategy Being Funded | Amount of Funding | Source of Funding | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Accelerated Reader & Math | • | School General Funds | | |
| Johns Reading Inventory | | School General Funds | | |
| Non-Violent Crisis Intervention | | School General Funds | | |
| Positive Behavior Support | | School General Funds | | |

Title I School-wide Compliance Review and Plan

A comprehensive school improvement plan must address all of the components defined in the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (Section 1114(b) of Title I). Each required component is described below, with an explanation of how each contributes to the creation of a successful schoolwide program. The goals and strategies you've already developed may fulfill many of these requirements.

Schoolwide reform strategies: Instructional strategies and initiatives in the comprehensive plan must be based on scientifically based research, strengthen the core academic program, increase the quality and quantity of learning time, and address the learning needs of all students in the school.

| This school improvement plan addresses this requirement. | | Priority Goal 1 | Priority Goal 2 | Priority Goal 3 | Priority Goal 4 | Priority Goal 5 |
|----------------------------------------------------------|------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Please see the priority goals and | Strategy 1 | | | | | |
| strategies noted to the right: | Strategy 2 | | | | | |
| Strategies floted to the right. | Strategy 3 | | | | | |

Our school is addressing the need for schoolwide reform in the following ways, in addition to our focus on the priority goals listed in this plan:

| Instruction | n by highly qualified teachers: Hig | nh poverty, low-pe | erforming sch | nools are son | netimes staff | fed with | |
|-------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|-------------|
| | onately high numbers of teachers wh | | _ | | | | SEA |
| | at all teachers of core academic subj | | | • | | | • |
| | al support) in a schoolwide program s | | • | | | | |
| | n schools where teaching and learning | • | • | nd students | achieve at hi | igher levels v | when taught |
| by teachers | s who know their subject matter and | are skilled in tea | ching it. | | | | |
| | | | Priority | Priority | Priority | Priority | Priority |
| | This school improvement plan | | Goal 1 | Goal 2 | Goal 3 | Goal 4 | Goal 5 |
| | addresses this requirement. | Strategy 1 | | | | | |
| | Please see the priority goals and strategies noted to the right: | Strategy 2 | | | | | |
| | strategies noted to the right. | Strategy 3 | | | | | |
| | Our school is addressing the need | for highly qualifie | ed teachers in | the followin | g ways, in a | ddition to ou | r focus on |
| | the priority goals listed in this plan: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| High-quality and ongoing professional development: Teachers and other staff in schoolwide program schools must be | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------|--|
| | o face the challenge of helping all stu | | | | | | | |
| | miliar with the goals and objectives o | | | | | | • | |
| | ent required to implement them. The | | • | | | | | |
| | no partner with teachers to support s | - | • | • | | • | | |
| lio il loco wi | to partitor with todoriors to support s | tadont doniovoni | ont, odon do p | principalo, pe | araproroccioi | idio, di id pai | orno. | |
| | Priority Priority Priority Priority Priority | | | | | | | |
| | This school improvement plan | | Goal 1 | Goal 2 | Goal 3 | Goal 4 | Goal 5 | |
| | addresses this requirement. | Strategy 1 | | | 0000 | | | |
| | Please see the priority goals and | | | | | | | |
| | strategies noted to the right: | Strategy 2 | | | | <u> </u> | | |
| | | Strategy 3 | <u> </u> | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | |
| | Our school provides high quality, or | • • • | nal developn | nent in the fo | ollowing ways | s, in addition | to our | |
| | focus on the priority goals listed in | this plan: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

| | This school improvement plan addresses this requirement. Please see the priority goals and strategies noted to the right: | | Priority | Priority | Priority | Priority | Priority |
|--|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | | | Goal 1 | Goal 2 | Goal 3 | Goal 4 | Goal 5 |
| | | Strategy 1 | | | | | |
| | | Strategy 2 | | | | | |
| | | Strategy 3 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| | al requirements specify that each sch parents, and 3) an approach for train | • | etter understa | ind how to he | elp their child | dren excel in | school. |
|--|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | This school improvement plan addresses this requirement. Please see the priority goals and strategies noted to the right: | | Priority Goal 1 | Priority Goal 2 | Priority Goal 3 | Priority Goal 4 | Priority Goal 5 |
| | | Strategy 1 | 50411 | Oodi Z | | | |
| | | Strategy 2 | | | | | |
| | | Strategy 3 | | | | | |
| | Our school uses the following strat goals listed in this plan: | egies to increase | parental invo | oivement, in | addition to o | ur focus on t | ne priority |

| elementary | ssisting preschool students in the schoolwide programs: This comp | onent emphasize | es the value | of creating a | coherent an | d seamless | educational |
|------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|
| | at-risk students. Early childhood pr mic success, and effective schoolwic | • | • | • | • | vide a found | ation for |
| | This ask as live a new as a state of | | Priority | Priority | Priority | Priority | Priority |
| | This school improvement plan | | Goal 1 | Goal 2 | Goal 3 | Goal 4 | Goal 5 |
| | addresses this requirement. Please see the priority goals and | Strategy 1 | | | | | |
| | strategies noted to the right: | Strategy 2 | | | | | |
| I | Istrategies noted to the right. | Strategy 3 | | | | | |
| | Our school uses the following pre-s priority goals listed in this plan: | | ary transition | , on alogous, | | , car roous o | |

| assessmen often come schoolwide | to include teachers in decisions re t results, teachers need current and from less formal assessments, such program should provide teachers with uses of multiple assessment measu | ongoing assessr as observation, th professional d | ment data tha performance evelopment t | at describe st e assessmen that increase | tudent achients, or end-of ts, or end-of es their unde | vement. The -course tests rstanding of | ese data s. The |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| | This school improvement plan | | Priority | Priority | Priority | Priority | Priority |
| | addresses this requirement. | _ | Goal 1 | Goal 2 | Goal 3 | Goal 4 | Goal 5 |
| | Please see the priority goals and | Strategy 1 | | | | | |
| | strategies noted to the right: | Strategy 2 Strategy 3 | | | | | |
| | Our school uses the following strate focus on the priority goals listed in t | • | ing teacher s | Kilis in Torma | ative assessr | nent, in addi | tion to our |
| | | | | | | | |

| to all students in the school who need | | Priority | Priority | Priority | Priority | Priority |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| This school improvement plan | | Goal 1 | Goal 2 | Goal 3 | Goal 4 | Goal 5 |
| addresses this requirement. Please see the priority goals and | Strategy 1 | | | | | |
| strategies noted to the right: | Strategy 2 | | | | | |
| strategies noted to the right. | Strategy 3 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| expected to educationa coordinatin | ion and integration of Federal, State use the flexibility available to them to a program and helping all students regular and integrating services, schoolwing se services. Exercising this option makes | o integrate servi ach proficient an le program schoo | ces and prog d advanced l ols may comb | rams with the levels of achi pine most Fe | e aim of upg ievement. Ir deral, State | rading the en addition to and local fur | ntire nds to |
|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|--------------------|
| | This school improvement plan addresses this requirement. | Ctrata m. 1 | Priority Goal 1 | Priority Goal 2 | Priority Goal 3 | Priority Goal 4 | Priority Goal 5 |
| | Please see the priority goals and | Strategy 1 Strategy 2 | | | | | |
| | strategies noted to the right: | Strategy 3 | | | | | |
| | Our school uses the following strate programs, in addition to our focus of | • | • | | state, and loo | cal services a | and |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Title I Targeted Assistance Compliance Review and Plan

A targeted assistance school improvement plan must address all of the components defined in the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (Section 1115 of Title I). Targeted assistance programs must useTitle I resources to help a school's most-at-risk children meet State academic achievement standards. Each required component is described below, with an explanation of how each contributes to the creation of a successful targeted assistance program. The goals and strategies you've already developed may fulfill many of these requirements.

Targeted assistance strategies: Instructional strategies and initiatives in the plan must be based on scientifically based research with a primary consideration for extending learning time and providing accelerated, high-quality curriculum for sudents identified as failing or most at-risk of failing the State's challenging student academic achievement standards.

| | is school improvement plan | | Priority Goal 1 | Priority Goal 2 | Priority Goal 3 | Priority Goal 4 | Priority Goal 5 |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------|------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | dresses this requirement. Ease see the priority goals and | Strategy 1 | | | | | |
| | ategies noted to the right: | Strategy 2 | | | | | |
| 300 | ategies noted to the right. | Strategy 3 | | | | | |

Our school is addressing targeted assistance program needs in the following ways, in addition to our focus on the priority goals listed in this plan:

| the core a | academic content area being taught in This school improvement plan | the targeted as | Priority | Priority | Priority | Priority | Priority |
|------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | addresses this requirement. | <u> </u> | Goal 1 | Goal 2 | Goal 3 | Goal 4 | Goal 5 |
| | Please see the priority goals and | Strategy 1 | | | | | |
| | strategies noted to the right: | Strategy 2 | | | | | |
| | 3 | Strategy 3 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| riricipais, | paraprofessionals, and parents. | | Priority | Priority | Priority | Priority | Priority |
|-------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | This school improvement plan | | Goal 1 | Goal 2 | Goal 3 | Goal 4 | Goal 5 |
| | addresses this requirement. Please see the priority goals and | Strategy 1 | | | | | |
| | strategies noted to the right: | Strategy 2 | | | | | |
| | | Strategy 3 | | | | | |
| | Our school provides high quality, of focus on the priority goals listed in | | | | | | |
| | 1 / 5 | | | | | | |
| | 1 70 | | | | | | |
| | , ,, | | | | | | |
| | , , , | | | | | | |
| | , , , | | | | | | |
| | , , , | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| significant a develop stra representat an approac | to increase parental involvement: and sustained levels of parental involventegies to involve parents of Title I strain on every school's improvement to the for communication with parents, 2) erstand how to help their children excess. | vement. There udents in the seam, and feder activities to inv | efore, it is im chool comm al requireme | portant that tunity. Additients specify t | targeted ass onally, state that each sch | istance prog law requires nool must de | rams parent velop: 1) |
|------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | This school improvement plan addresses this requirement. | Strategy 1 | Priority Goal 1 | Priority Goal 2 | Priority Goal 3 | Priority Goal 4 | Priority Goal 5 |
| | Please see the priority goals and strategies noted to the right: | Strategy 2 Strategy 3 | | | | | |
| | Our school uses the following strate priority goals listed in this plan: | gies to increas | e parental ir | nvolvement, i | in addition to | our focus o | n the |
| | | | | | | | |

| This school improvement plan | | Priority | Priority | Priority | Priority | Priority |
|------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | Goal 1 | Goal 2 | Goal 3 | Goal 4 | Goal 5 |
| addresses this requirement. | Strategy 1 | | | | | |
| Please see the priority goals and strategies noted to the right: | Strategy 2 | | | | | |
| strategies noted to the right. | Strategy 3 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| addresses this requirement. Please see the priority goals and strategies noted to the right: Strategy 1 Strategy 2 Strategy 3 Our school uses the following strategies to support and coordinate with the regular education program, addition to our focus on the priority goals listed in this plan: | Please se strategies Our scho | ee the priority goals and s noted to the right: ol uses the following strate | Strategy 2 Strategy 3 egies to suppor | | inate with the | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|---------------------|---|--|
| strategies noted to the right: Strategy 2 | strategies Our scho | s noted to the right: ol uses the following strate | Strategy 3 egies to suppor | | inate with the | | |
| Our school uses the following strategies to support and coordinate with the regular education program, | | • | egies to suppor | | I inate with the | ļ | |
| addition to our rocus on the priority goals listed in this plan. | | | <u> </u> | uno pian. | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| Compliance Review | and Plan for S | chools in Title I | School Im | provement |
|--------------------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|
|--------------------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|

Each school identified for Title I School Improvement must, no later than three months after notification that the school is in Title I School Improvement, develop or revise its school plan. This plan must be developed in consultation with parents, school staff, the local education agency serving the school, and outside experts. The plan must cover a two-year period.

Professional development requirements: Schools in Title I School Improvement must 1) provide assurance that the school will spend not less than 10 percent of its Title I funds each year for high quality professional development, 2) specify how these professional development funds will be used to remove the school from school improvement status, and 3) incorporate a teacher mentor program.

| This school improvement plan addresses this requirement. | | Priority Goal 1 | Priority Goal 2 | Priority Goal 3 | Priority Goal 4 | Priority Goal 5 |
|----------------------------------------------------------|------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Please see the priority goals and | Strategy 1 | | | | | |
| strategies noted to the right: | Strategy 2 | | | | | |
| strategies noted to the right. | Strategy 3 | | | | | |

Our school is addressing these three additional professional development requirements in the following ways, in addition to our focus on the priority goals listed in this plan:

| | This school improvement plan addresses this requirement. Please see the priority goals and strategies noted to the right: | | Priority Goal 1 | Priority Goal 2 | Priority Goal 3 | Priority Goal 4 | Priority Goal 5 |
|--|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | | Strategy 1 | | | | | |
| | | Strategy 2 | | | | | |
| | | Strategy 3 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| School, di | strict and state agency responsible | ilities: Schools | in Title I Scho | ol Improven | nent are requ | ired to clear | ly define |
|------------|----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|
| | sibilities of the school, LEA, and SEA | | | • | • | | • |
| • | ical assistance in the form of data ar | | • | • | | , , | |
| | State-level assistance may include p | | • | | • | | • |
| • | nical assistance. | orovision or a sta | to wide syste | iii oi suppoi | t, including a | iiocation or i | ariairig aria |
| Other tech | T | | 1 | | | | |
| | This school improvement plan addresses this requirement. | | Priority | Priority | Priority | Priority | Priority |
| | | | Goal 1 | Goal 2 | Goal 3 | Goal 4 | Goal 5 |
| | Please see the priority goals and | Strategy 1 | | | | | |
| | strategies noted to the right: | Strategy 2 | | | | | |
| | Strategies floted to the right. | Strategy 3 | | | | | |
| School: | following ways: | | | | | | |
| LEA: | | | | | | | |
| SEA: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| and sustained leve to involve parents school's improven communication wi | rease parental involvement: els of parental involvement. The of Title I students in the school ment team, and federal requirer ith parents, 2) activities to involu- children excel in school. | nerefore, it is impole community. Acommunity that the ments specify that | oortant that t dditionally, st at each scho | argeted assi tate law requool must deve | stance progi iires parent r elop: 1) an a | rams develop epresentatio pproach for | o strategies n on every |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| This s | This school improvement plan | | Priority | Priority | Priority | Priority | Priority |
| | esses this requirement. | _ | Goal 1 | Goal 2 | Goal 3 | Goal 4 | Goal 5 |
| | e see the priority goals and | Strategy 1 | | | | | |
| | strategies noted to the right: | Strategy 2 | | | | | |
| otrato | gioc noted to the right. | Strategy 3 | | | | | |
| | chool uses the following strate listed in this plan: | gies to increase | parental inve | olvement, in | addition to o | our focus on t | the priority |
| | | | | | | | |

| | This school improvement plan addresses this requirement. Please see the priority goals and strategies noted to the right: | | Priority | Priority | Priority | Priority | Priori |
|--|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--------|
| | | 01::-1::4 | Goal 1 | Goal 2 | Goal 3 | Goal 4 | Goal |
| | | Strategy 1 | | | | | |
| | | Strategy 2 | | | | | |
| | Our school uses the following strat | Strategy 3 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| on strong so coherent ar | t support and coordinate with regular of the support and coordination with regular of the seamless educational program for rograms such as Early Reading First | education progra at-risk students. | ms. This co This may ir | mponent em nclude transi | phasizes the tioning stude | e value of creents from ear | eating a |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|
| | | | Priority | Priority | Priority | Priority | Priority |
| | This school improvement plan addresses this requirement. Please see the priority goals and strategies noted to the right: | | • | | | | • |
| | | | Goal 1 | Goal 2 | Goal 3 | Goal 4 | Goal 5 |
| | | Strategy 1 | | | | | |
| | | Strategy 2 | | | | | |
| | latitude in the right. | Strategy 3 | | | | | |
| | Our school uses the following strate | gies to support a | nd coordina | te with the re | egular educa | tion program | n, in |
| | | | | | | | |

| School-based Management and Accountability Program Summary of School-based Waiver Requests Program Years: 2011-2013 LEA or Charter School Name/Number: Select your school district/charter school | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | | |
| | Please describe the waiver you are requesting. | | | |
| | 2. Identify the law, regulation, or policy from which exemption is requested. | | | |
| | | | | |
| | 3. State how the waiver will be used. | | | |
| | 4. State how this waiver helps achieve the specific performance goals | | | |
| | | | | |
| | (Please duplicate this sheet as needed for additional waivers.) | | | |
| Signature of Superintender | nt/Designee Date | | | |